3 4

5 6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

### STATE OF ARIZONA

NOV 3 0 1994

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEP	ARTMENT	OF	INSURANCE
By		1	INSURANCE

In the Matter of	) Docket No. 85	
F. DARRELL LINDSEY,	) ) ORDER )	

Respondent.

On November 2, 1994, a hearing took place in the above-referenced matter. Assistant Attorney General Gerrie Switzer appeared on behalf of the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department"). Respondent F. Darrell Lindsey ("Mr. Lindsey") was present in person and represented by counsel, Charles T. Carson.

Based upon the entire record in this matter, including all pleadings, motions, testimony, and exhibits admitted during the hearing of this matter, Administrative Law Judge Gregory Y. Harris has prepared the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for consideration and approval by the Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance (the "Director"). Based upon these recommendations, the Director makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and enters the following Order:

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- Mr. Lindsey holds license number 0522715, and has been authorized by the Director to transact insurance in the State of Arizona as a non-resident surplus lines broker and a non-resident property and casualty broker (the "Licenses").
- 2. On or about April 11, 1994, Mr. Lindsey submitted two applications to renew the licenses ("1994 Applications").

3. In the 1994 Applications, the following questions were asked:

- C. SINCE YOUR LAST APPLICATION OR RENEWAL THEREOF, have you had any professional, vocational, or business license denied, suspended, revoked, restricted, or had a fine imposed by any public authority or have you withdrawn any application for or surrendered any license to avoid any disciplinary action? (emphasis in original)
- F. ARE ANY criminal, administrative or other judicial or quasi-judicial charges or proceedings currently pending against you IN ANY jurisdiction? (emphasis in original)

Mr. Lindsey asked "No" to both questions.

4. In April of 1992 and 1990, Lindsey submitted renewal applications to renew the Licenses. The following questions were asked in the 1992 and 1990 renewal applications:

Since your last application or renewal thereof, have you had any professional, vocational, or business license denied, suspended, revoked, or restricted, or a fine imposed by any public authority, or withdrawn any application for or surrendered any such license to avoid disciplinary action?

Are any criminal, civil, administrative or other judicial or quasi-judicial charges or proceedings currently pending against you in any jurisdiction?

Mr. Lindsey answer "No" on all four renewal applications.

5. On April 4, 1994, one week before he submitted the 1994 Applications, the South Dakota Department of Commerce and Regulations (the "South Dakota Department") denied Mr. Lindsey's application for insurance licensure pursuant to SDCL 58-30-23 and SDCL 58-30-43. The South Dakota Department based the denial on Mr. Lindsey's failure to disclose administrative

actions taken by four other states against his insurance licenses to the South Dakota Department.

- 6. On December 5, 1991, the State of Nebraska
  Department of Insurance (the "Nebraska Department") issued a
  Petition and Notice of Hearing against F. Darrell Lindsey,
  Respondent, in Cause No. A-976. Mr. Lindsey held a surplus
  lines insurance agent's license in Nebraska. The Petition
  alleged that Mr. Lindsey failed to file the required quarterly
  reports due from all surplus lines licensees in violation of
  Neb. Rev. Stat. §§ 44-147.03 and 44-2028(1) and (11).
- 7. On January 28, 1992, following a hearing, the Nebaska Department issued Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order in Case No. A-976. The Nebraska Department found that Mr. Lindsey violated the reporting requirements to which he was subject as a surplus lines licensee. The Nebraska Department ordered Mr. Lindsey to pay a \$300.00 fine and suspended his surplus lines insurance agent's license until he filed all required reports. The Nebraska Department instituted additional proceedings to enforce the Order entered in Case No. A-976 on June 25, 1992. Mr. Lindsey resolved this supplemental proceeding on July 1, 1992.
- 8. On March 9, 1992, the Insurance Division of the State of Iowa (the "Iowa Department") issued a Notice of Hearing In the Matter of the Conduct of F. Darrell Lindsey, No. P57018. The Notice of Hearing alleged that Mr. Lindsey violated Chapter 507B, Code of Iowa, 1991, by submitting to the Iowa Department an application for a nonresident insurance agent's license in which he failed to answer one background question and

erroneously answered another question regarding other actions against him. The Notice of Hearing further alleged that this conduct may indicate that Mr. Lindsey lacked the requisite character and competency to act as an insurance agent and the Commissioner may have good cause to decline the issuance of the license.

- 9. On April 10, 1992, following a hearing, the Iowa Department issued an Order concluding that Mr. Lindsey did not fully or truthfully answer the questions concerning his background on the application submitted to the Iowa Department for an agent's license. The Iowa Department concluded further that Mr. Lindsey's actions constituted good cause to reject Mr. Lindsey's application for licensure. The Iowa Department rejected Mr. Lindsey's application for a nonresident insurance agent's license.
- 10. On May 2, 1986, the State of Oregon Department of Commerce, Insurance Division (the "Oregon Department"), issued a Cease and Desist Order in conjunction with a Cease and Desist Order issued that same date against High Country Insurance Agency, Inc., dba The High Country Group In the Matter of the Insurance Practices of F. Darrell Lindsey, Case No. 86-5-2 (the "Oregon C&D").
- 11. Mr. Lindsey held an Oregon nonresident insurance agent's license authorizing him to transact general lines of insurance. Mr. Lindsey never held an Oregon surplus lines agent's license because only Oregon residents or people who maintain an office in Oregon may hold a surplus lines license.

12. The Oregon C&D stated that Mr. Lindsey's conduct constituted the transaction of insurance on behalf of insurers with which the agent was not appointed to serve within the State of Oregon. The Oregon C&D further stated that Mr. Lindsey's conduct constituted the transaction of insurance within the state of Oregon as an agent for an unauthorized insurer without a surplus lines agent's license. The Oregon C&D alleged that Mr. Lindsey's conduct violated ORS 744.035(1), 744.305 and 746.310(1), and ordered Mr. Lindsey to cease and desist from further violations of these statutes. Mr. Lindsey did not challenge the findings of the Oregon C&D in the State of Oregon.

- 13. On June 5, 1986, the Insurance Commissioner for the State of Utah issued an Order to Cease & Desist <u>In the Matter of F. Darrell Lindsey, Rickie J. Lindsey & High Country Insurance Agency, Docket No. 86-40 (the "Utah C&D").</u>
- 14. The Utah C&D arose from the named Respondents' activities under the name of High Country Outdoorsman

  Association to broker, on an alleged group basis, commercial automobile insurance for outfitters and guides through the United Bus Owners Association.
- 15. The Commissioner concluded that Mr. Lindsey engaged in the following:

prohibited business practices such as making available preferred rates or premiums based upon a fictitious grouping of an Association;

unfairly discriminated against individuals with substantially like risks and exposure factors by requiring them to join High Country Outdoorsman Association, Inc.;

charged and/or received fees and compensation associated in the procurement of

insurance which were not included in the premium specified in the policy;

knowingly published and/or disseminated false, deceptive or misleading representations relative to the business of insurance;

attempted to exercise powers relative to insurance outside the scope of their license; and

placed insurance on behalf of individuals and/or organizations outside the State of Utah through a surplus line broker which was only authorized within the State of Utah.

Stipulation and Order with the Utah Insurance Department, Docket No. 86-58. Respondents agreed to Stipulations that included conducting their business only with independently governed bona fide associations which exist for purposes other than obtaining insurance and acting in concert with the laws and requirements of Title 31A, Utah Code Annotated. The stipulation provided for the rescission of the Utah C&D dismissed any disciplinary or administrative proceedings previously issued. Mr. Lindsey testified that his resolution of the Utah C&D also resolved the Oregon C&D.

- 17. On January 22, 1979, the Idaho Department of Insurance issued an Administrative Complaint against Mr. Lindsey, a duly licensed non-resident property and casualty and disability insurance agent, Docket No. 363.
- 18. Count I of the Administrative Complaint alleged that Mr. Lindsey directly or indirectly acted an an agent for an unauthorized insurer (Fidelity American Assurance Company) in the solicitation, negotiation, procurement or effectuation of a

group disability insurance policy in violation of Idaho Code §§ 41-1201 and 1201.

- 19. Count II of the complaint alleged that Mr. Lindsey was not an appointed agent of the unauthorized insurer, and by his solicitation, negotiation, procurement or effectuation of insurance policies, Mr. Lindsey did solicit and place insurance in an insurer as to which he did not hold a subsisting appointment in violation of Idaho Code §§ 41-1030 and 1031(1).
- 20. Count III of the complaint alleged that Mr. Lindsey held himself out to be a life insurance agent when, in fact, he was not licensed to conduct life business in Idaho. Finally, under Count IV, Mr. Lindsey engaged in this conduct to avoid the applicable provisions of the Idaho Code.
- 21. On May 2, 1979, the State of Idaho charged Mr. Lindsey with an administrative penalty in the amount of \$1,000.00.
- 22. Mr. Lindsey employs individuals within the scope of his business operation who perform a variety of functions including the screening of mail, the maintenance of files, and the preparation of documents for submission to outside sources, including regulatory officials.

#### DISCUSSION

1. The Legislature vested the Director with the discretion to determine the qualifications of an applicant for the issuance or renewal of an insurance license or for a licensee to maintain an insurance license. A.R.S. §20-290(B); see A.R.S. §\$20-316(A), 20-316(C) (authorizing the Director to

suspend, revoke, or refuse to renew a license or to impose civil penalties against the holder of an insurance license for violations of Title 20).

- 2. For the Director to exercise this discretion, applicants for the issuance or renewal of a license must submit an application to the Director. A.R.S. §20-291. When reviewing an application, the Director must consider:
- a. The applicant's identity, personal history, business record, experience in insurance, the purpose for which the license is to be used, and "other pertinent facts the Director requires." A.R.S. §20-291(A).
- b. Whether the applicant has been previously licensed to transact any kind of insurance in this state or elsewhere. A.R.S. §20-291(B)(1).
- c. Whether the applicant has been previously had a license refused, suspended or revoked. A.R.S. §20-291(B)(2).
- d. Whether an insurer or general agent claims the applicant is indebted to it. A.R.S. §20-291(B)(3).
- e. Whether the applicant ever had an agency contract cancelled. A.R.S. §20-291(B)(4).
- f. Whether the applicant received a passing score on the required licensing examination. A.R.S.  $\S 20-291(B)(5)$ .
- 3. After considering these factors, the Director may refuse to accept an application or refuse to issue or renew a license if these or other factors exist that draw into question the applicant's qualifications for license. Under A.R.S.

§20-316, these factors may also give rise to disciplinary proceedings under Title 20 against the holder of a license:

- a. Material misrepresentation or fraud in the application for, or attempt to obtain or renew an insurance license. A.R.S. §20-290(B)(1); see A.R.S. §20-316(A)(3).
- b. A record of dishonesty on the part of the applicant in business or financial matters. A.R.S. §20-290(B)(2);
- c. A record of misappropriation, conversion or irregular withholding by the applicant of monies belonging to policyholders, insurers, beneficiaries or others and received in the conduct of business in this state or elsewhere. application for, or attempt to obtain or renew an insurance license. A.R.S. §20-290(B)(3); see A.R.S. §20-316(A)(4).
- d. A record of conduct under an insurance license issued in this state or elsewhere showing that applicant to be incompetent or a source of injury and loss to, or repeated complaints by, the public or any insurer. A.R.S. §20-290(B)(4); see A.R.S. §20-316(A)(7).
- e. A record of suspension or revocation of an insurance license in any jurisdiction. A.R.S. §20-290(B)(5); see A.R.S. §20-316(A)(5).
- f. a record of conviction by final judgment of a felony involving moral turpitude. A.R.S. §20-290(B)(6); see

  A.R.S. §20-316(A)(6).
- 4. Applicants for the issuance or renewal of an insurance license must truthfully, fully, and adequately disclose information on a license or renewal application.

A.R.S. §20-316(A)(2). If an applicant for the issuance or renewal of an insurance license fails to disclose background information which falls within the scope of A.R.S. §§20-290(B), 20-291(A) and 20-291(B), the non-disclosure serves to hamper, thwart, or prevent the Director from the exercise and discharge of the duty delegated by the Legislature.

- 5. Mr. Lindsey has had an insurance license suspended by the State of Nebraska and had licenses denied by the States of Iowa and South Dakota. The Legislature has instructed the Director to consider these facts and the facts underlying these actions in the course of exercising the judgment and discretion attendant to the licensing process.

  Mr. Lindsey had the affirmative obligation to truthfully disclose these facts when he submitted his renewal applications to the Director. Significantly, his failure to make these disclosures led to the actions taken by both Iowa and South Dakota.
- 6. To be sure, the Director has the ability to undertake the steps attendant to the performance of conduct background checks of applicants to determine the truth of the information disclosed by the applicant. However, the licensing process can only work well when applicants fully and truthfully answer all questions on the application.
- 7. In this matter, an investigation undertaken following Mr. Lindsey's submission of the renewal application revealed that Mr. Lindsey had failed to reveal a suspension and a fine imposed by the Iowa Department, the denial of license applications by both the South Dakota Department and the

Nebraska Department, and the entry of cease and desist orders by the Utah Department and the Oregon Department. Through the discovery of these facts, the question necessarily arises whether Mr. Lindsey, who failed to be honest with the Director, can be expected to be honest in transactions entered into under the License.

- 8. Mr. Lindsey contends that these facts should not provide the basis of the Director's exercise of discretion and judgment because the facts underlying the actions taken by other insurance regulators would not support additional action by the Director in this matter. He further contends that he continues to hold licenses in other jurisdictions in which he has been sanctioned. However, by failing to disclose this information to the Director, Mr. Lindsey usurped the Director's authority, and unilaterally determined the significance of these regulatory actions which the Legislature specifically directed the Director to consider, the effect of which caused the Director to make decisions regarding the license issued to Mr. Lindsey on a less than complete record.
- 9. Mr. Lindsey, as the holder of the License, retains and assumes responsibility for all individuals acting on his behalf, including those performing administrative and clerical functions relating to the preparation of the applications signed by Mr. Lindsey and submitted to the Department to renew the License.
- 10. The legislature has determined that facts relating to whether Mr. Lindsey has ever had a license refused,

suspended, or revoked are material to the licensing process. A.R.S.  $\S\S20-290(B)(5)$ , 20-291(B)(2).

- 11. Mr. Lindsey's submission of renewal applications which failed to disclose material information constitute a misrepresentations made in connection with an application to obtain or renew an insurance license. A.R.S. §20-316(A)(3).
- 12. Despite the materiality of Mr. Lindsey's misrepresentations, the Director has discretion to determine the sanction, if any, to be imposed in response to the misrepresentations.
- 13. The material misrepresentations made by Mr. Lindsey, when considered along with the nature of what the respondent failed to reveal, support the conclusion that a sanction should be imposed. At the same time, the sanction should be tempered by the fact that no evidence has been presented that Mr. Lindsey has a disciplinary history with the Department. Nevertheless, the imposition of the sanction follows from the reasons discussed above regarding Mr. Lindsey's obligation to ensure his truthful disclosures of all facts reasonably related to the discretion and judgment which the statutes require the Director to exercise and make.
- 14. Mr. Lindsey urged that this proceeding had not been timely instituted and asked for the dismissal of the matter. In moving for this dismissal of this proceeding, the applicant characterized this as a proceeding brought solely under A.R.S. §20-290 at the applicant's request subject to the time tables set forth in A.R.S. §20-161. However, the Notice of Hearing issued in this matter put Mr. Lindsey on notice that the

Director would exercise the discretion permitted by A.R.S. §20-316 relating to Mr. Lindsey's material misrepresentations in renewal applications submitted in 1990, 1992 and 1994.

15. Because the time tables set forth in A.R.S. §20-161 do not have the applicability urged by Mr. Lindsey to this proceeding, which was initiated under A.R.S. §20-316, Mr. Lindsey's motion to dismiss was properly denied.

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Mr. Lindsey made material misrepresentations and committed fraud in the six renewal applications which he submitted between 1990 and 1994 for his non-resident surplus lines broker license and his non-resident property and casualty broker license in violation of A.R.S. §20-316(A)(3).
- 2. Mr. Lindsey has a record of suspension of an insurance license in another jurisdiction in violation of A.R.S. \$20-316(A)(5).

## ORDER

# IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Mr. Lindsey shall pay a civil penalty of \$1,250.00 to the Director on or before December 30, 1994.
- 2. The Licenses issued to Mr. Lindsey shall be suspended for 90 days, beginning December 30, 1994 through March 29, 1995.
- 3. Mr. Lindsey shall, on or before December 30, 1994, submit a letter with the Licensing Department, which truthfully, fully, and adequately discloses the nature of every regulatory action taken between January 1, 1990 and the present

by any jurisdiction against any insurance license which he holds in any jurisdiction.

4. Mr. Lindsey shall be permitted to renew license number 0522715.

# NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

The aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written petition with the Administrative Law Division within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for such relief pursuant to A.A.C. R4-14-114(B).

The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. §20-166.

EFFECTIVE this 30th day of November, 1994.

CHRIS HERSTAM
Director of Insurance

GREGORY Y. HARRIS

Chief Administrative Law Judge

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 30th day of November, 1994, to: 2 Gay Ann Williams, Deputy Director 3 Charles R. Cohen, Executive Assistant Director John Gagne, Acting Manager, Investigations 4 Maureen Catalioto, Supervisor, Licensing Department of Insurance 5 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, Arizona 85018 6 Gerrie Switzer 7 Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington 8 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 9 Charles T. Carson Attorney at Law 10 Suite 1130 3200 N. Central Ave. 11 Phoenix, AZ 85012 12 F. Darrell Lindsey 7417 Lost Canyon Circle 13 Salt Lake City, UT 84121 14 F. Darrell Lindsey P.O. Box 526357 15 Salt Lake City, UT 84152 16 High Country Insurance Agency 1787 E. Fort Union Blvd., Ste. 205 17 Salt Lake City, UT 84121 18 United States Fidelity and Guaranty Co. Salt Lake City Office 19 P.O. Box 21100 Salt Lake City, UT 84121 20 Homestead Insurance Company 21 200 Plaza Drive Secaucus, NJ 07096 22 Western Surety Company 23 P.O. Box 5077 Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5077 24 25 26 27

28

1 Transworld Building Trades & Contractors Liability Association, Inc. 2 United America Legal Service Providers Professional Liability Association 3 United Nations Sport and Recreation Risk Retention Liability Corporation 4 Garage Services and Equipment Dealers Liability Association of America 5 International Special Events and Recreation Association, Inc. 6 North America Chemical Users and Applicators Association, Inc. Western Continent Realty Liability Association, Inc. 8 Worldwide Outfitter and Guides Association, Inc. American World Accounting Service Providers 9 Professional Liability Association Fidelity Far West Food and Beverage 10 Liability Association, Inc. P.O. Box 526148 11 Salt Lake City, UT 84152-6148 12 13 Chris Crawford 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28